Serum vitamin D deficiency and risk of gestational diabetes mellitus: a meta-analysis

Lanling Wang¹, Chunlei Zhang², Yuhuan Song³, Zhennan Zhang⁴

¹Maternity Department, W.F. Maternity and Child Care Hospital, Weicheng District, China

²Neonatology Department, Weifang Medical University, Weicheng District, China ³Pharmacy Department, W.F. Maternity and Child Care Hospital, Weifang, Weicheng District, China

⁴Public Computer Center, Weifang Medical University, Weifang, Kuiwen District, China

Submitted: 20 September 2019 Accepted: 23 February 2020

Arch Med Sci 2020; 16 (4): 742–751 DOI: https://doi.org/10.5114/aoms.2020.94433 Copyright © 2020 Termedia & Banach

Abstract

Introduction: This meta-analysis was performed to confirm the relationship of gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM) and vitamin D.

Material and methods: PubMed and CNKI databases were searched for relevant articles. Standard mean difference (SMD) along with 95% CI was used to compare vitamin D level between women with GDM and healthy subjects. The correlation coefficient between the vitamin D and homeostasis model assessment-insulin resistance index (HOMA-IR) was analyzed.

Results: The vitamin D level of GDM subjects was much lower than healthy subjects (SMD = -0.71, 95% CI: -0.91, -0.50). Vitamin D deficiency was associated with high risk of GDM (OR = 1.15, 95% CI: 1.07-1.23). Vitamin D was negatively correlated with HOMA-IR (r = -0.62, 95% CI: -0.85, -0.39). The analysis showed no publication bias (Egger's: p = 0.197; Begg's: p = 0.786). **Conclusions:** Vitamin D is closely associated with the onset of GDM.

Key words: vitamin D, gestational diabetes mellitus, 25(OH)D, metaanalysis.

Introduction

Vitamin D, a secosteroid, is synthesized in skin and then metabolized in kidneys and liver of humans. It plays an important role in maintaining phosphorus and calcium homeostasis and accelerating bone mineralization. Emerging evidence shows that vitamin D deficiency is associated with high risk of cardiovascular disease [1–3], hypertension [4, 5], and cancers [6–8]. In addition, it has been demonstrated that vitamin D maintains normal glucose homeostasis [9, 10]. Vitamin D deficiency is reported to be associated with insulin resistance, high risk of pre-diabetes and type 2 diabetes mellitus (DM) [11].

Both of vitamin D and parathyroid hormone (PTH) contribute to maintaining calcium (Ca) homeostasis [12]. Vitamin D is associated with intestinal Ca absorption. Low serum Ca level promotes PTH secretion to stimulate the resorption of Ca from bone and the renal reabsorption of Ca [12], which is defined as secondary hyperparathyroidism that could increase the risk of DM [13, 14].

Corresponding author:

Lanling Wang W.F. Maternity and Child Care Hospital Weicheng District, China E-mail: wangllw11@sina.com



Creative Commons licenses: This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons

Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International (CC BY -NC -SA 4.0). License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/).

Gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM) is a growing health problem. It is defined as glucose intolerance, which commonly occurs during pregnancy [15]. Its relationship with adverse newborn and pregnancy outcomes is well known [16]. Obesity and lifestyle are the main risk factors for GDM [17, 18]. Some studies have reported a significant relationship between 25(OH)D deficiency and GDM, while others did not find such an association [19–22]. The opinions about the relationship of GDM and 25(OH)D levels are inconsistent [19–22]. Previously published meta-analyses analyzed the relationship of 25(OH)D deficiency and GDM [23–25], but studies published in Chinese were not considered in these meta-analyses.

The present meta-analysis included articles in Chinese and the results seem to be much more accurate. Levels of vitamin D in GDM subjects and healthy ones were analyzed. Meanwhile, the relationship of vitamin D with risk of GDM was also investigated.

Material and methods

Search strategy

The present meta-analysis was conducted according to the PRISMA statement about metaanalysis [26]. Two researchers independently performed searches for the related articles (up to September 2019) in the PubMed and CNKI databases. Keywords included 1,25-dihydroxycholeclciferol or 25(OH)D or vitamin D or 25-hydroxy vitamin D and GDM or gestational diabetes mellitus. The obtained articles were scanned and the reference lists of all articles were checked manually. To decrease bias, two researchers performed the searches and any inconsistent opinions were resolved with a discussion.

Inclusion and exclusion criteria

The obtained articles were selected according to inclusion and exclusion criteria. During evaluation, abstracts and titles of obtained articles were screened carefully. Only studies that conducted analysis among pregnant woman without illness were considered. Meanwhile, papers that compared vitamin D level between women with GDM and women with normal glucose tolerance (NGT) would be selected. In addition, papers that reported an estimation of effect (odds ratio – OR) to compare sufficient and insufficient vitamin D values were also selected. Studies based on non-human experiments, duplicate publications, reviews, meta-analysis, and those that provided insufficient data were excluded from the analysis.

Data extraction

The following data were extracted from included studies: name of first author, year of publication, sample size, gestational age, vitamin D levels and status among GDM and healthy subjects. For more information, the authors would be contacted for supplementary data. Disagreements were resolved via a discussion.

Data synthesis and statistical analysis

STATA software was used for statistical analyses. OR with 95% confidence interval (CI) was applied to evaluate the relationship of vitamin D with the risk of GDM. Standard mean difference (SMD) along with 95% CI was used to compare vitamin D level between women with GDM and healthy subjects. Meanwhile, the correlation coefficient between vitamin D and the homeostasis model assessment-insulin resistance index (HOMA-IR) was analyzed as well. Heterogeneity was assessed with Qand l^2 statistics. When heterogeneity was observed, a random-effects model was used in the analysis. Publication bias was tested by Egger's and Begg's analyses. All statistical tests were two sided.

Results

Selection process of articles

After the initial search, 248 potential articles were obtained. Eight additional articles were identified through manual search of the references. Overall, 256 potential articles were confirmed. After screening the abstracts and titles, 97 articles were removed. After a full-text review, 106 articles were excluded. Fifty-three studies were selected [19, 20, 22, 27–76]. The selection process is shown in Figure 1. Basic information of included articles is listed in Table I.

Comparison in vitamin D level between women with GDM and healthy subjects

A total of 43 articles with a population of 28,827 compared the vitamin D level between women with GDM and healthy subjects (Figure 2). During analysis, the SMD statistic was applied due to inconsistent units. In the analysis, we found that vitamin D level of GDM subjects was much lower than that of healthy subjects (SMD = -0.71, 95% CI: -0.91, -0.50).

Relationship of vitamin D with GDM risk

Altogether 21 articles with a population of 16,177 reported a relationship of vitamin D and risk of GDM (Figure 3). Two studies reported a significant relationship, and 19 studies reported no significant relationship. Due to significant heterogeneity (p < 0.001), the meta-analysis was performed with a random-effects model. It showed that vitamin D deficiency was associated with high risk of GDM (OR = 1.15, 95% CI: 1.07–1.23).



Figure 1. Selection process of included articles. Fifty-three studies were included in the present meta-analysis

Author	Year	Country	Subjects, n	Gestational diabetes, <i>n</i>	Diagnosis time [weeks]	Assay method	Cut-off values [nmol/l]
Liu Y [27]	2015	China	174	85	24–28	Electrochemiluminescence	-
Wu YX [28]	2016	China	240	120	11	ELISA	50
Cai YQ [29]	2017	China	400	200	24–28	ELISA	50
Tao [30]	2015	China	176	88	24.28	Electrochemiluminescence	-
Zhou JL [31]	2017	China	7000	1012	24–28	ELISA	-
Ye [32]	2015	China	82	41	24–28	ELISA	50
Zhang SF [33]	2015	China	100	50	24–28	Electrochemiluminescence	-
Liu T [34]	2013	China	50	25	24–28	ELISA	-
Hou [35]	2016	China	70	30	24–28	ELISA	-
Liang [36]	2016	China	60	30	24–28	ELISA	25
Wang YL [37]	2016	China	100	50	24–28	Electrochemiluminescence	75
Guan [38]	2016	China	90	60	24–28	radioimmunoassay	-
Lei [39]	2014	China	433	118	24–28	Electrochemiluminescence	75
Zhang YJ [40]	2017	China	400	200	24–28	ELISA	-
Zhang CY [41]	2013	China	372	124	24–28	CLIA	50
Liu Y [42]	2017	China	72	36	24–28	ELISA	75
Song [43]	2015	China	180	78	24–28	ELISA	75
Hu [44]	2015	China	74	37	28	ELISA	50
Lu [45]	2010	China	55	29	24–30	ELISA	-
Zhu [46]	2016	China	110	55	24	Electrochemiluminescence	-
Cai F [47]	2016	China	1305	133	24–28	ELISA	-
Wang X [48]	2016	China	243	123	23–41	ELISA	_
Shen X [49]	2015	China	200	100	24–28	CLIA	50
Shen F [50]	2013	China	528	36	16–20	ELISA	-
Si [51]	2014	China	446	55	17-21	ELISA	-

Table I. Basic information of studies

Author	Year	Country	Subjects, n	Gestational diabetes, <i>n</i>	Diagnosis time [weeks]	Assay method	Cut-off values [nmol/l]
Bei [52]	2014	China	100	50	24–28	LC-MS/MS	-
Yuan [53]	2017	China	717	478	24–28	ELISA	-
Zhou Y [54]	2016	China	7773	977	24–28	-	-
Zhang CL [55]	2008	America	171	57	24–28	ELISA	75
Parlea [56]	2012	Canada	335	116	24–28	CLIA	-
Wang [58]	2012	China	400	200	26–28	ELISA	50
Bener [59]	2013	Qatar	1873	260	24–28	Radioimmunoassay	75
Parildar [60]	2013	Turkey	122	44	24–32	CLIA	50
Zuhur [61]	2013	Turkey	402	234	24–28	Electrochemiluminescence	50
Arnold [62]	2015	America	652	135	24–28	LC-MS/MS	75
Dodds [63]	2016	Canada	2320	395	24–28	CLIA	50
Schneuer [64]	2014	Australia	4090	376	24.28	CLIA	50
Maghbooli [19]	2008	Iran	579	52	24–28	Radioimmunoassay	34.9
Clifton-Bligh [20]	2008	Australia	264	81	28.7	Nichols Advantage assay	-
Soheilykhah [65]	2015	Iran	54	165	24–28	ELISA	75
Makgoba [22]	2011	UK	248	90	24–28	LC-MS/MS	-
Savvidou [66]	2011	UK	1100	100	24–28	LC–MS/MS	-
Baker [67]	2012	America	180	60	24–28	LC–MS/MS	75
Burris [68]	2012	America	1246	68	26–28	CLIA	75
Fernandez- Alonso [69]	2012	Spain	466	36	24–28	Electrochemiluminescence immunoassay	75
Lacroix [70]	2014	Canada	655	54	24–28	Immunoassay system	75
Park [71]	2014	Korea	523	23	24–28	Electrochemiluminescence immunoassay	50
Boyle [72]	2016	UK	1544	32	24–28	LC-MS/MS	75
Hauta-Alus [75]	2017	Finland	723	81	24–28	CLIA	80
Shen [76]	2011	China	1030	52	24.28	ELISA	50
Yang [57]	2013	China	70	35	24–28	ELISA	50
Loy [73]	2015	Singapore	940	155	26-28	LC-MS/MS	75
Pleskacova [74]	2015	Czech Republic	76	47	24–30	ELISA	50

Table I. Cont.

LC-MS/MS – liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectroscopy, CLIA – chemiluminescence immunoassay.

Correlation coefficient between vitamin D and HOMA-IR

A total of 8 articles with a population of 2,376 analyzed the correlation coefficient between vitamin D level and HOMA IR (Figure 4). The outcome indicated that vitamin D was negatively correlated with HOMA-IR (r = -0.62, 95% CI: -0.85, -0.39).

Sensitivity analysis

Sensitivity analysis was performed. Each study was sequentially removed and the overall results did not change, which indicated that the results were robust.

Publication bias

Potential publication bias was detected via funnel plot (Figure 5). Egger's and Begg's tests showed no publication bias (Egger's: p = 0.197; Begg's: p = 0.786).

Discussion

The pathogenesis of disease involves many factors, such as genes, infections, environment and nutrition supplementation [77–85], which regulates the metabolism of some molecules, thus resulting in the diseases [86, 87]. GDM is a well-known complication with high prevalence

during pregnancy. It shows an imbalance between insulin secretion and insulin resistance, resulting in maternal hyperglycemia [88]. The risk factors for GDM include maternal age, obesity prior to and during pregnancy, family history of diabetes and previous history of GDM [89]. However, these factors cannot serve as predictors of GDM development in half of all cases [90]. Lower 25(OH)D concentrations have been demonstrated to be associated with insulin resistance, maternal glycemia, and high risk of GDM. However, the relationship of 25(OH)D with risk of GDM has not been well defined. The present meta-analysis was performed to reach a definite conclusion on this topic.

Some studies suggested a relationship of 25(OH)D with increased risk of GDM [20, 21, 55, 65, 91]. A recent study did not find evidence for the relationship of 25(OH)D with GDM [22]. Another study reported a similar result, but it sug-

Author	Year		SMD (95% CI)	Weight (%)
Wu	2016	÷	-0.78 (-1.04, -0.52)	2.37
Cai	2017		0.57 (0.37, 0.77)	2.41
Тао	2015	÷ [-0.60 (-0.90, -0.29)	2.34
Yang	2013		-3.04 (-3.73, -2.34)	1.93
Liang	2016		-0.55 (-1.06, -0.03)	2.13
Shen	2011		-0.44 (-0.74, -0.15)	2.34
Lu	2010		-0.58 (-1.12, -0.04)	2.11
Wang X	2016	→ Ī	-3.42 (-3.81, -3.02)	2.26
Parlea	2012	-	-0.27 (-0.50, -0.05)	2 39
Zuhur	2013	•	-0.32 (-0.52 -0.12)	2 41
Maghbooli	2008		-0.37 (-0.65, -0.08)	2 35
Clifton-Bligh	2008	-	-0.28(-0.54, -0.02)	2 37
Makgoba	2011		-0.01 (-0.27, 0.24)	2 37
Lacroix	2014		-0.32(-0.60, -0.04)	2 36
Park	2014	-	0.23 (-0.19, 0.64)	2.30
Boyle	2016		-0.42 (-0.77 -0.07)	2.2.1
Hauta-Alus	2010			2.30
Zhou II	2017	L 🗌 🗌	-0.10 (-0.17 -0.04)	2.55
Zhang SE	2015		-0.63(-1.03, -0.23)	2.15
Wang VI	2015		-0.50(-0.90, -0.10)	2.25
Wang VI	2016		-0.55 (-0.95, -0.15)	2.25
	2010		-0.72 (-0.94 -0.50)	2.25
Liu	2017		-0.69 (-1.16 -0.21)	2.40
Song	2017		-3.13 (-3.57 -2.69)	2.10
7hu	2015		-0.63(-1.01, -2.03)	2.21
Chi	2016	T	-0.05(-1.01, -0.25)	2.27
Car Shop V	2010		-0.10(-0.34, 0.01)	2.42
Shon E	2013		-0.38(-0.00, -0.10)	2.35
Shell F	2015		-0.67(-1.01, -0.33)	2.51
SI Zhou V	2014		-0.61(-0.89, -0.52)	2.55
Zhong Cl	2010	÷.	-0.03(-0.10, -0.02)	2.40
Arnold	2008		-0.85(-0.96, -0.51)	2.52
Sabailukhah	2015		-0.24 (-0.45, -0.03)	2.41
Soriellykrian	2015		-0.26 (-0.59, 0.07)	2.32
	2013		-0.57 (-1.14, -0.01)	2.08
LIU Y	2015		2.81 (2.39, 3.23)	2.23
Ye	2015		-2.45 (-3.02, -1.87)	2.07
Hou	2016		-7.18 (-8.48, -5.89)	1.25
Guan	2016		-0.63(-1.08, -0.18)	2.21
Zhang YJ	2017		-1.43(-1.65, -1.21)	2.39
Zhang CY	2013		-0.42 (-0.64, -0.20)	2.40
Hu	2015		-1.03(-1.52, -0.55)	2.17
Bei	2014		-2.18 (-2.68, -1.68)	2.15
Yuan	2017	■ i	-1.62 (-1./9, -1.44)	2.42
Savvidou	2011		0.48 (0.28, 0.69)	2.40
Overall ($l^2 = 97.1^{\circ}$ Note: Weights ar	%, p < 0.001) re from random effe	ects analysis.	-0.71 (-0.91, -0.50)	100.00
	ا ۵۸۵	1	1 0 1 0	

Figure 2. Comparison of vitamin D level between women with GDM and healthy subjects. Vitamin D level of GDM subjects was much lower than that of healthy subjects (SMD = -0.71, 95% CI: -0.91, -0.50). The horizontal line indicates the lower and upper limits of the 95% CI; the square indicates the SMD, with the size of the square indicating the weight of the study and the dotted red line indicating the combined SMD value. The diamond represents the combined effect size, and the larger the diamond, the larger the confidence interval. A cross between the diamond and the ineffective line indicates no statistical difference between GDM and healthy subjects in vitamin D level; if the diamond falls on the left side of the invalid line, it indicates a lower level of vitamin D among GDM subjects, compared to that of healthy subjects; for the diamond falls on the right side of the line, it indicates a higher level of vitamin D among GDM subjects, compared to that of healthy subjects, compared to that of healthy subjects.

SMD – standard mean difference, CI – confidence interval.

gested an inverse relationship of glucose concentrations with 25(OH)D level 30 min after a 100 g glucose load [21]. Physical activity is an important confounder of the relationship of 25(OH)D and GDM. Thanks to sunlight exposure, active women have less risk of developing impaired glucose tolerance and seem to have higher 25(OH)D levels than less active women [92, 93].

In the analysis, a total of 43 articles compared the vitamin D level between GDM and healthy subjects. The overall outcome revealed that the vitamin D level of women with GDM was much lower than that of healthy subjects. Altogether 21 articles reported a relationship of vitamin D status and risk of GDM. Two articles reported a significant relationship and 19 articles reported no significant relationship. The outcome showed that vitamin D deficiency was significantly correlated with increased risk of GDM. Meanwhile, 8 articles analyzed the correlation coefficient between vitamin D and HOMA IR. We found that vitamin D was negatively correlated with HOMA-IR, which contributes to revealing the re-

lationship of vitamin D and GDM. It is common to compare the clinical efficacy of methods for disease [94–96]. There were articles reporting the beneficial effects of vitamin D supplementation on the GDM [97-100]. Zhang et al. reported that high-dose vitamin D supplementation significantly improved insulin resistance in pregnant women with GDM [97]. Yazdchi et al. concluded that vitamin D supplementation improved FG and HbA_{1c} in GDM patients [98]. The study by Shahgheibi et al. indicated that vitamin D supplementation in the first and second trimesters of pregnancy was effective in reducing GDM and controlling GTT and GTC [99]. Another study by Mahdieh et al. indicated that 50,000 IU vitamin D every 2 weeks decreased the incidence of GDM [100]. All these results were consistent with our outcomes.

The meta-analysis included 53 eligible articles, of which 30 articles were published in Chinese. The results seem to much more accurate; however, the analysis still has some limitations. First, the diagnostic time of GDM, detection method for 25(OH)D, and the cut-off value of vitamin D dif-

Study ID	OR (95% CI)	Weight (%)
Wang YL (2016)	1.10 (0.62, 1.94)	1.55
Wang YL (2016)	1.07 (0.61, 1.88)	1.62
Zhang CY (2013)	— 1.12 (0.80, 1.55)	4.63
Song (2015)	7.34 (3.80, 14.20)	0.53
Ни (2015)	1.30 (0.66, 2.55)	1.02
Zhang CL (2008)	1.37 (0.83, 2.25)	1.80
Wang (2012)	1.31 (0.94, 1.83)	4.21
Bener (2013)	0.96 (0.79, 1.17)	14.40
Parildar (2013)	1.58 (0.82, 3.04)	0.98
Zuhur (2013)	- 1.11 (0.83, 1.49)	5.84
Arnold (2015)	1.15 (0.85, 1.56)	5.21
Dodds (2016)	► 1.35 (1.13, 1.62)	13.66
Maghbooli (2008)	- 1.07 (0.71, 1.61)	3.08
Soheilykhah (2015)	- 0.98 (0.61, 1.59)	2.35
Makgoba (2011)	0.92 (0.60, 1.40)	3.12
Savvidou (2011)	1.00 (0.51, 1.96)	1.17
Burris (2012)	1.17 (0.66, 2.08)	1.50
Lacroix (2014)	1.12 (0.74, 1.70)	2.92
Park (2014)	0.93 (0.50, 1.72)	1.44
Schneuer (2014)	1.09 (0.94, 1.27)	22.43
Loy (2015)	0.91 (0.66, 1.26)	5.34
Pleskacova (2015)	1.03 (0.53, 2.00)	1.19
Overall (/² = 52.1%, p = 0.002)	1.15 (1.07, 1.23)	100.00
įi		
0.0704 1	14.2	

Figure 3. Relationship of vitamin D with GDM risk. Vitamin D deficiency was closely associated with high risk of GDM (OR = 1.15, 95% CI: 1.07–1.23). The horizontal line indicates the lower and upper limits of the 95% CI; the square indicates the OR, with the size of the square indicating the weight of the study and the dotted red line indicating the combined SMD value. The diamond represents the combined effect size, and the larger the diamond, the larger the confidence interval. A cross between the diamond and the ineffective line indicates no statistical correlation between the factors studied and the outcome; if the diamond falls on the left side of the invalid line, it indicates a protective factor; if the diamond falls on the right side of the line, it indicates a risk factor OR - odds ratio, CI - confidence interval.

Lanling Wang, Chunlei Zhang, Yuhuan Song, Zhennan Zhang



Figure 4. Analysis of the correlation coefficient between vitamin D level and HOMA-IR. Vitamin D was negatively correlated with HOMA-IR (r = -0.62, 95% CI: -0.85, -0.39). The horizontal line indicates the lower and upper limits of the 95%CI; the square indicates the effective size with the size of the square indicating the weight of the study and the dotted red line indicating the combined effective size value. The diamond represents the combined effect size, and the larger the diamond, the larger the confidence interval. A cross between the diamond and the ineffective line indicates the correlation coefficient between vitamin D level and HOMA-IR; if the diamond falls on the left side of the invalid line, it indicates a negative correlation; if the diamond falls on the right side of the line, it indicates a positive correlation

ES – effective size, CI – confidence interval.



Figure 5. Begg's funnel plot. Egger's and Begg's tests showed no publication bias (Egger's: p = 0.197; Begg's: p = 0.786)

fered among these studies. Second, the impact of some important factors may affect the relationship of vitamin D deficiency and GDM; however, some studies did not adjust the results for confounding factors. Further randomized controlled trials are necessary to assess this relationship and explore the effects of vitamin D supplementation on the prevention of GDM.

In conclusion, the vitamin D level of women with GDM is much lower than that of healthy subjects. Vitamin D deficiency is significantly correlated with increased risk of GDM. Vitamin D is negatively correlated with HOMA-IR. The conclusion indicates that vitamin D is valuable for pregnant women. Detection of serum vitamin D should be performed on pregnant women, which helps in preventing GDM.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Poole KE, Loveridge N, Barker PJ, et al. Reduced vitamin D in acute stroke. Stroke 2006; 37: 243-5.
- Wang TJ, Pencina MJ, Booth SL, et al. Vitamin D deficiency and risk of cardiovascular disease. Circulation 2008; 117: 503-11.
- 3. Zittermann A, Schleithoff SS, Tenderich G, Berthold HK, Körfer R, Stehle P. Low vitamin D status: a contributing factor in the pathogenesis of congestive heart failure? J Am Coll Cardiol 2003; 41: 105-12.
- 4. Chen S, Sun Y, Agrawal DK. Vitamin D deficiency and essential hypertension. J Am Soc Hypertens 2015; 9: 885-901.
- Kunutsor SK, Apekey TA, Steur M. Vitamin D and risk of future hypertension: meta-analysis of 283,537 participants. Eur J Epidemiol 2013; 28: 205-21.
- Zhang L, Wang S, Che X, Li X. Vitamin D and lung cancer risk: a comprehensive review and meta-analysis. Cell Physiol Biochem 2015; 36: 299-305.
- Dou R, Ng K, Giovannucci EL, Manson JE, Qian ZR, Ogino S. Vitamin D and colorectal cancer: molecular, epidemiological and clinical evidence. Br J Nutr 2016; 115: 1643-60.
- 8. Barreto SG, Neale RE. Vitamin D and pancreatic cancer. Cancer Lett 2015; 368: 1-6.

- 9. El-Fakhri N, McDevitt H, Shaikh MG, Halsey C, Ahmed SF. Vitamin D and its effects on glucose homeostasis, cardiovascular function and immune function. Horm Res Paediatr 2014; 81: 363-78.
- 10. Barengolts E. Vitamin D and prebiotics may benefit the intestinal microbacteria and improve glucose homeostasis in prediabetes and type 2 diabetes. Endocr Pract 2013; 19: 497-510.
- 11. Pittas AG, Lau J, Hu FB, Dawson-Hughes B. The role of vitamin D and calcium in type 2 diabetes. A systematic review and meta-analysis. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2017; 92: 2017-29.
- Reis JP, von Mühlen D, Kritz-Silverstein D, Wingard DL, Barrett-Connor E. Vitamin D, parathyroid hormone levels, and the prevalence of metabolic syndrome in community-dwelling older adults. Diabetes Care 2007; 30: 1549-55.
- Cheung PS, Thompson NW, Brothers TE, Vinik AI. Effect of hyperparathyroidism on the control of diabetes mellitus. Surgery 1986; 100: 1039-47.
- 14. Werner S, Hjern B, Sjöberg HE. Primary hyperparathyroidism. Analysis of findings in a series of 129 patients. Acta Chir Scand 1974; 140: 618-25.
- 15. Metzger BE, Coustan DR. Summary and recommendations of the Fourth International Workshop-Conference on Gestational Diabetes Mellitus: the Organizing Committee. Diabetes Care 1998; 21: 161-7.
- Casey BM, Lucas MJ, Mcintire DD, Leveno KJ. Pregnancy outcomes in women with gestational diabetes compared with the general obstetric population. Obstet Gynecol 1997; 90: 869-73.
- 17. Zhang C, Solomon CG, Manson JE, Hu FB. A prospective study of pregravid physical activity and sedentary behaviors in relation to the risk for gestational diabetes mellitus. Arch Intern Med 2006; 166: 543-8.
- Zaman F, Nouhjah S, Shahbazian H, Shahbazian N, Latifi SM, Jahanshahi A. Risk factors of gestational diabetes mellitus using results of a prospective population-based study in Iranian pregnant women. Diabetes Metab Syndr 2018; S1871-4021: 30088-90.
- 19. Maghbooli Z, Hossein-Nezhad A, Karimi F, Shafaei AR, Larijani B. Correlation between vitamin D3 deficiency and insulin resistance in pregnancy. Diabetes Metab Res Rev 2008; 24: 27-32.
- 20. Clifton-Bligh RJ, McElduff P, McElduff A. Maternal vitamin D deficiency, ethnicity and gestational diabetes. Diabet Med 2008; 25: 678-84.
- 21. Farrant HJ, Krishnaveni GV, Hill JC, et al. Vitamin D insufficiency is common in Indian mothers but is not associated with gestational diabetes or variation in newborn size. Eur J Clin Nutr 2009; 63: 646-52.
- 22. Makgoba M, Nelson SM, Savvidou M, Messow CM, Nicolaides K, Sattar N. First-trimester circulating 25-hydroxyvitamin D levels and development of gestational diabetes mellitus. Diabetes Care 2011; 34: 1091-3.
- Hu L, Zhang Y, Wang X, et al. Maternal vitamin D status and risk of gestational diabetes: a meta-analysis. Cell Physiol Biochem 2018; 45: 291-300.
- 24. Lu M, Xu Y, Lv L, Zhang M. Association between vitamin D status and the risk of gestational diabetes mellitus: a meta-analysis. Arch Gynecol Obstet 2016; 293: 959-66.
- Wei SQ, Qi HP, Luo ZC, Fraser WD. Maternal vitamin D status and adverse pregnancy outcomes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med 2013; 26: 889-99.
- 26. Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, et al.; PRISMA Group. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and

meta analyses: the PRISMA statement. PLoS Med 2009, 6: e1000097.

- 27. Liu Y, Liu Y, Zhang LX, et al. Study about the association between 25(OH)D, gestational diabetes mellitus and insulin level. China Pract Med 2015; 10: 59-60.
- 28. Wu YX. Significance of 25(OH)D expression in gestational diabetes mellitus. Chin J Urban Rural Enterprise Hyg 2016; 9: 92-3.
- 29. Cai YQ, Zhan Y, Gu F, Wang LL. Association between gestational diabetes mellitus and serum level of 25-hydroxyvitamin D3 in Qingdao, China. Acta Acad Med Qingdao Univ 2017; 53: 280-6.
- 30. Tao R. 13 to 16 weeks of pregnancy women blood 25-hydroxyl vitamin D3 level and period of onset of diabetes pregnancy clinical studies. J Aerospace Med 2015; 26: 284-5.
- 31. Zhou JL, Liu CH, Zhao NN. The roles of serum 25(OH)D3 and lipid levels at the second trimester of pregnancy in the pathogenesis of gestational diabetes mellitus. Matern Child Health Care China 2017; 32: 4657-9.
- 32. Ye HT. Relevant relationship of serum 25-hydroxyl vitamin D levels with insulin resistance in gestational diabetes mellitus. China Med Pharm 2015; 5: 146-8.
- 33. Zhang SF, Su LL Variations of C-reactive protein and 25-hydroxy vitamin D3 in gestational diabetes mellitus and their clinical significance. Clin J Med Officers 2015; 43: 59-61.
- 34. Liu T, Fan L, Huang WQ, Zhang W. Analysis about vitamin D and inflammatory factors, and sex hormones in gestational diabetes. Chin J Birth Health Hered 2013; 21: 78-82.
- 35. Hou XJ, Sun Y, Chen XY. The relationship between gestational diabetes and obesity, inflammation factors, 25-hydroxy vitamin D3 and insulin resistance in pregnant women. China Trop Med 2016; 16: 262-5.
- 36. Liang HM. Correlation analysis of serum 25-hydroxyl vitamin D levels and insulin resistance of pregnant women with gestational diabetes mellitus. China Med Pharm 2016; 6: 77-9.
- 37. Wang YL, Zhai J, Yu CW, Chen Y, Mao LD, Zhang J. Comparison of serum vitamin D level between pregnant women with gestational diabetes mellitus and healthy pregnant women in summer and winter. Guangxi Med J 2016; 38: 493-5.
- Guan XF, Wang YY. Assay of vitamin D of patients with gestational diabetes mellitus and its clinical method. Matern Child Health Care China 2016; 31: 1170-2.
- Lei C, Zhou XL, Zhang J, Pang Y, Lu XX. Serum 25(OH)D3 level and related factors in patients with gestational diabetes. J Ningxia Med Univ 2014; 36: 1198-200.
- 40. Zhang YJ, Zhao L, Wang RQ, Zhao XY, Guo W. Relationship between gestational diabetes mellitus and vitamin D. Hainan Med J 2017; 28: 3322-4.
- 41. Zhang CY, Tian YQ, Su XD. Serum level of 25(OH)D3 at first trimester of pregnancy and its relationship with risk of gestational diabetes mellitus. Chin J Clin 2013; 7: 7617-9.
- 42. Liu Y. Prediction value of serum level of vitamin D at first trimester of pregnancy for gestational diabetes mellitus. Diabetes New World 2017; 13: 47-8.
- 43. Song HB, Lu F, Lei HK. Research of the correlation between vitamin D levels and gestational diabetes mellitus. China Med Herald 2015; 12: 121-4.
- 44. Hu XJ. Study about the association between vitamin D and gestational diabetes mellitus. Chin J Modern Drug Application 2015; 9: 45-6.
- 45. Lu YQ, Shi ZH, Zhao C, Liu XM. The value of maternal 25-hydroxyvitamin D in predicting gestational diabetes mellitus. Jiangsu Med J 2010; 36: 1881-3.

- 46. Zhu XQ. Significance of serum levels of C-reactive protein and 25(OH)D3 for gestational diabetes mellitus. Shandong Med J 2016; 56: 55-6.
- 47. Cai F. Study about the association between serum vitamin D, parathyroid hormone, and gestational diabetes mellitus. Int J Labor Med 2016; 37: 2152-3.
- Wang X. Analysis on the association between serum 25(OH)D, C-reactive protein, cystatin C levels and gestational diabetes mellitus. J Aerospace Med 2016; 27: 232-3.
- 49. Shen X, Yang JZ, Zhang L, Wang L, Hua XL Analysis of correlation of vitamin D deficiency with the risk of gestational diabetes mellitus in pregnant women. Progress Modern Biomed 2015; 15: 76-9.
- Shen F, Zhuo SY, You HZ, Wang BW. Relationship of serum vitamin D level with gestational diabetes mellitus among pregnant women. Guangdong Med J 2013; 34: 3311-3.
- Si DM, Wang HX, Zhou H. The relationship analysis of vitamin D levels test during pregnancy and gestational diabetes. China Modern Med 2014; 21: 118-9.
- 52. Bei LL. Study about the association between vitamin D level at pre-pregnancy and gestational diabetes mellitus. Jilin Med J 2014; 35: 8033-4.
- 53. Yuan HL. Prediction effect of serum free 25-hydroxy vitamin D in early pregnancy on gestational diabetes mellitus in pregnant women with pre-pregnant obesity. Hainan Med J 2017; 28: 1934-7.
- 54. Zhou Y, Gu W, Lin J. Effects of serum 25(OH)D3 and lipid levels at the second trimester on gestational diabetes mellitus. J Shanghai Jiao Tong Univ Med Sci 2016; 36: 264-8.
- 55. Zhang C, Qiu C, Hu FB, et al. Maternal plasma 25-hydroxyvitamin D concentrations and the risk for gestational diabetes mellitus. PLoS One 2008; 3: e3753.
- 56. Parlea L, Bromberg IL, Feig DS, Vieth R, Merman E, Lipscombe LL. Association between serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D in early pregnancy and risk of gestational diabetes mellitus. Diabet Med 2012; 29: e25-32.
- 57. Yang M. The relationship of serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D levels with insulin resistance in gestational diabetes patients. Matern Child Health Care China 2013; 25: 4639-41.
- Wang O, Nie M, Hu YY, et al. Association between vitamin D insufficiency and the risk for gestational diabetes mellitus in pregnant Chinese women. Biomed Environ Sci 2012; 25: 399-406.
- Bener A, Al-Hamaq AO, Saleh NM. Association between vitamin D insufficiency and adverse pregnancy outcome: global comparisons. Int J Womens Health 2013; 5: 523-31.
- 60. Parildar H, Dogruk Unal A, Aksan Desteli G, Cigerli O, Guvener Demirag N. Frequency of vitamin D deficiency in pregnant diabetics at Baskent University Hospital, Istanbul. Pak J Med Sci 2013; 29: 15-20.
- 61. Zuhur SS, Erol RS, Kuzu I, Altuntas Y. The relationship between low maternal serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D levels and gestational diabetes mellitus according to the severity of 25-hydroxyvitamin D deficiency. Clinics 2013; 68: 658-64.
- 62. Arnold DL, Enquobahrie DA, Qiu C, et al. Early pregnancy maternal vitamin D concentrations and risk of gestational diabetes mellitus. Paediatr Perinat Epidemiol 2015; 29: 200-10.
- Dodds L, Woolcott CG, Weiler H, et al. Vitamin D status and gestational diabetes: effect of smoking status during pregnancy. Paediatr Perinat Epidemiol 2016; 30: 229-37.

- 64. Schneuer FJ, Roberts CL, Guilbert C, et al. Effects of maternal serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D concentrations in the first trimester on subsequent pregnancy outcomes in an Australian population. Am J Clin Nutr 2014; 99: 287-95.
- 65. Soheilykhah S, Mojibian M, Rashidi M, Rahimi-Saghand S, Jafari F. Maternal vitamin D status in gestational diabetes mellitus. Nutr Clin Pract 2010; 25: 524-7.
- 66. Savvidou MD, Akolekar R, Samaha RB, Masconi AP, Nicolaides KH. Maternal serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D levels at 11+0–13+6 weeks in pregnant women with diabetes mellitus and in those with macrosomic neonates. BJOG 2011; 118: 951-5.
- 67. Baker AM, Haeri S, Camargo CA Jr, Stuebe AM, Boggess KA. First-trimester maternal vitamin D status and risk for gestational diabetes (GDM) a nested case-control study. Diabetes Metab Res Rev 2012; 28: 164-8.
- 68. Burris HH, Rifas-Shiman SL, Kleinman K, et al. Vitamin D deficiency in pregnancy and gestational diabetes mellitus. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2012; 207: e1-8.
- 69. Fernández-Alonso AM, Dionis-Sánchez EC, Chedraui P, González-Salmerón MD, Pérez-López FR. First-trimester maternal serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D3 status and pregnancy outcome. Int J Gynaecol Obstet 2012; 116: 6-9.
- 70. Lacroix M, Battista MC, Doyon M, et al. Lower vitamin D levels at first trimester are associated with higher risk of developing gestational diabetes mellitus. Acta Diabetol 2014; 51: 609-6.
- 71. Park S, Yoon HK, Ryu HM, et al. Maternal vitamin D deficiency in early pregnancy is not associated with gestational diabetes mellitus development or pregnancy outcomes in Korean pregnant women in a prospective study. J Nutr Sci Vitaminol (Tokyo) 2014; 60: 269-75.
- 72. Boyle VT, Thorstensen EB, Mourath D, et al. The relationship between 25-hydroxyvitamin D concentration in early pregnancy and pregnancy outcomes in a large, prospective cohort. Br J Nutr 2016; 116: 1409-15.
- 73. Loy SL, Lek N, Yap F, et al. Association of maternal vitamin D status with glucose tolerance and caesarean section in a multi-ethnic Asian cohort: the growing up in singapore towards healthy outcomes study. PLoS One 2015; 10: e0142239.
- 74. Pleskačová A, Bartáková V, Pácal L, et al. Vitamin D status in women with gestational diabetes mellitus during pregnancy and postpartum. Biomed Res Int 2015; 2015: 260624.
- 75. Hauta-Alus HH, Viljakainen HT, Holmlund-Suila EM, et al. Maternal vitamin D status, gestational diabetes and infant birth size. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth 2017; 17: 420.
- 76. Shen ZJ, Yu JM. Association analysis about the relationship of vitamin D with gestational diabetes mellitus. Chin Remedies Clin 2011, 11: 1465-6.
- 77. Zhai C, Li SJ, Feng W, et al. Association of interleukin-17a rs2275913 gene polymorphism and asthma risk: a meta-analysis. Arch Med Sci 2018; 14: 1204-11.
- Farazd K, Hamid V, Amir Abbas MB, et al. Bacterial infections are associated with cardiovascular disease in Iran: a meta-analysis. Arch Med Sci 2019; 15: 902-11.
- 79. Hao JQ, Zhang QK, Zhou YX, et al. Association between circulating leptin concentration and G-2548A gene polymorphism in patients with breast cancer: a metaanalysis. Arch Med Sci 2019; 15: 275-83.
- Khademi F, Vaze H, Momtazi-Borojeni AA, et al. Bacterial infections are associated with cardiovascular disease in Iran: a meta-analysis. Arch Med Sci 2019; 15: 902-11.

- Kazune S, Piebalga A, Strike E, et al. Impaired vascular reactivity in sepsis – a systematic review with meta-analysis. Arch Med Sci Atheroscler Dis 2019; 4: e151-61.
- 82. Li YH, Meng X, Zhou CH, et al. Pregnancy-associated plasma protein A as a predictor of all-cause mortality and cardiovascular events in patients with chronic kidney disease: a meta-analysis of prospective studies. Arch Med Sci 2020; 16: 8-15.
- Khademi F, Vaez H, Momtazi-Borojeni AA, et al. Bacterial infections are associated with cardiovascular disease in Iran: a meta-analysis. Arch Med Sci 2019; 15: 902-11.
- 84. Kazune S, Piebalga A, Strike E, et al. Impaired vascular reactivity in sepsis – a systematic review with meta-analysis.Arch Med Sci Atheroscler Dis 2019; 4: e151-61.
- 85. Li YH, Meng X, Zhou CH, et al. Pregnancy-associated plasma protein A as a predictor of all-cause mortality and cardiovascular events in patients with chronic kidney disease: a meta-analysis of prospective studies. Arch Med Sci 2020; 16: 8-15.
- Mohsen M, Peyman R, Maciej B, Effect of magnesium supplements on serum C-reactive protein: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Arch Med Sci 2019; 14: 707-16.
- 87. Sorin U, Amirhossein S, Maria-Corina S, et al. A systematic review and meta-analysis of clinical trials investigating the effects of flaxseed supplementation on plasma C-reactive protein concentrations. Arch Med Sci 2019; 15: 12-22.
- Homko C, Sivan E, Chen X, Reece EA, Boden G. Insulin secretion during and after pregnancy in patients with gestational diabetes mellitus. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2011; 86: 568-73.
- Hedderson MM, Williams MA, Holt VL, Weiss NS, Ferrara A. Body mass index and weight gain prior to pregnancy and risk of gestational diabetes mellitus. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2008; 198: 409.
- Coustan DR, Nelson C, Carpenter MW, Carr SR, Rotondo L, Widness JA. Maternal age and screening for gestational diabetes: a population-based study. Obstet Gynecol 1989; 73: 557-61.
- Maghbooli Z, Hossein-Nezhad A, Mirzaei K, et al. Association between retinol-binding protein 4 concentrations and gestational diabetes mellitus and risk of developing metabolic syndrome after pregnancy. Reprod Sci 2010; 17: 196-201.
- 92. Oken E, Ning Y, Rifas-Shiman SL, Radesky JS, Rich-Edwards JW, Gillman MW. Associations of physical activity and inactivity before and during pregnancy with glucose tolerance. Obstet Gynecol 2006; 108: 1200-7.
- 93. Looker AC. Do body fat and exercise modulate vitamin D status? Nutr Rev 2007; 65: S124-6.
- 94. Massoud S, Ramin S, Saeid P, et al. A systematic review and meta-analysis on the treatment of liver hydatid cyst using meta-MUMS tool: comparing PAIR and laparoscopic procedures. Arch Med Sci 2019; 15: 284-308.
- 95. Kexun M, Ling L, Liu CH, et al. Efficacy and safety of various anti-rheumatic treatments for patients with rheumatoid arthritis: a network meta-analysis. Arch Med Sci 2019; 15: 33-54.
- 96. Massoud S, Ramin S, Saeid P, et al. Treating empyema thoracis using video-assisted thoracoscopic surgery and open decortication procedures: a systematic review and meta-analysis by meta-mums tool. Arch Med Sci 2019; 15: 912-35.

- 97. Zhang QY, Cheng Y, He ML, et al. Effect of various doses of vitamin D supplementation on pregnant women with gestational diabetes mellitus: a randomized controlled trial. Exp Ther Med 2016, 12: 1889-95.
- 98. Roya Y, Pourghassem GB, Mohammad AJ, et al. Effects of vitamin D supplementation on metabolic indices and hs-CRP levels in gestational diabetes mellitus patients: a randomized, double-blinded, placebo-controlled clinical trial. Nutr Res Pract 2016, 10: 328-35.
- 99. Shahgheibi S, Farhadifar F, Pouya B. The effect of vitamin D supplementation on gestational diabetes in high-risk women: results from a randomized placebo-controlled trial. J Res Med Sci 2016; 21: 2.
- 100. Mahdieh M, Sedigheh S, Mohammad AFZ, et al. The effects of vitamin D supplementation on maternal and neonatal outcome: a randomized clinical trial. Iran J Reprod Med 2015; 13: 687-96.